

საქართველოს სტანდარტი

ჯანმრთელობის ინფორმატიკა - ელექტრონული სამედიცინო ჩანაწერის
კავშირი- ნაწილი 2: არქეტივის გადანაცვლების მახასიათებელი

საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის
ეროვნული სააგენტო
თბილისი

სსტ ისო 13606-2:2019/2020

საინფორმაციო მონაცემები

1 შემუშავებულია საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს სტანდარტების დეპარტამენტის მიერ

2 დამტკიცებულია და შემოღებულია სამოქმედოდ საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს 2020 წლის 01 ივნისის № 59 განკარგულებით

3 მიღებულია გარეკანის თარგმნის მეთოდით სტანდარტიზაციის საერთაშორისო ორგანიზაციის სტანდარტი ისო 13606-2:2019 „ ჯანმრთელობის ინფორმატიკა - ელექტრონული სამედიცინო ჩანაწერის კავშირი- ნაწილი 2: არქტივის გადანაცვლების მახასიათებელი”

4 პირველად

5 რეგისტრირებულია საქართველოს სტანდარტების და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს რეესტრში: 2020 წლის 01 ივნისი №268-1.3-017271

დაუშვებელია წინამდებარე სტანდარტის სრული ან ნაწილობრივი კვლავწარმოება, ტირაჟირება და გავრცელება სსიპ საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს ნებართვის გარეშე

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სანახავად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO
13606-2

Second edition
2019-06

**Health informatics — Electronic
health record communication —**

**Part 2:
Archetype interchange specification**

*Informatique de santé — Communication du dossier de santé
informatisé —*

Partie 2: Spécification d'échange d'archétype



Reference number
ISO 13606-2:2019(E)

© ISO 2019



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviations	2
5 Conformance	2
6 Archetype representation requirements	3
6.1 General	3
6.2 Archetype definition, description and publication information	3
6.3 Archetype node constraints	6
6.4 Data value constraints	8
7 Archetype object model	10
7.1 Preface	10
7.1.1 Purpose	10
7.1.2 Nomenclature	10
7.2 Model overview	10
7.2.1 Package structure	10
7.2.2 Definition and utility classes	11
7.3 The archetype package	13
7.3.1 Overview	13
7.3.2 Archetype identification	15
7.3.3 Top-level meta-data	16
7.3.4 Governance meta-data	16
7.3.5 Structural definition	18
7.3.6 Class descriptions	22
7.3.7 Validity rules	29
7.4 Constraint model package	30
7.4.1 Overview	30
7.4.2 Semantics	32
7.4.3 Second order constraints	38
7.4.4 AOM type substitutions	39
7.4.5 Class definitions	41
7.5 The rules package	56
7.5.1 Overview	56
7.5.2 Semantics	57
7.5.3 Class descriptions	57
7.6 Terminology package	62
7.6.1 Overview	62
7.6.2 Semantics	64
7.6.3 Class descriptions	65
7.7 Templates	67
Annex A (informative) Archetype Definition Language	69
Annex B (informative) Example Representation	70
Bibliography	71

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health Informatics*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 13606-2:2008), which has been technically revised. The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- Introduction of new internal coding scheme, consisting of id-codes, at-codes and ac-codes.
- Replace string archetype identifier with multi-part, namespace identifier.
- Addition of explicit value-sets replacing in-line value sets in the terms and definitions.
- Renaming archetype ontology section to terminology.
- Expression of all external term bindings as URIs following IHTSDO format.
- Introduction of 'tuple' constraints for co-varying attributes within Quantity, Ordinal structures.
- Re-engineering of all primitive constringer types, i.e. C_STRING, C_DATE etc.
- Removal of the Archetype Profile specification.
- Full specialisation support: the addition of an attribute to the C_ATTRIBUTE class, allowing the inclusion of a path that enables specialised archetype redefinitions deep within a structure.
- Addition of node-level annotations.
- Structural simplification of archetype ontology section.
- The name of the invariant section has been changed to rules, to better reflect its purpose.
- A template is now just an archetype.

A list of all parts in the ISO 13606 series can be found on the ISO website.

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სანახავად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სახსრად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

Introduction

This document is part of a five-part standard series, published jointly by CEN and ISO through the Vienna Agreement. In this document dependency upon any of the other parts of this series is explicitly stated where it applies.

Comprehensive, multi-enterprise and longitudinal electronic health records will often in practice be achieved through the joining up of multiple clinical applications, databases (and increasingly devices) that are each tailored to the needs of individual conditions, specialties or enterprises.

This requires that Electronic Health Record (EHR) data from diverse systems be capable of being mapped to and from a single comprehensive representation, which is used to underpin interfaces and messages within a distributed network (federation) of EHR systems and services. This common representation has to be sufficiently generic and rich to represent any conceivable health record data, comprising part or all of an EHR (or a set of EHRs) being communicated.

The approach adopted in the ISO 13606 standards series, underpinned by international research on the EHR, has been to define a rigorous and generic Reference Model that is suitable for all kinds of data and data structures within an EHR, and in which all labelling and context information is an integral part of each construct. An EHR Extract (as defined in ISO 13606-1) will contain all of the names, structure and context required for it to be interpreted faithfully on receipt even if its organisation and the nature of the clinical content have not been “agreed” in advance.

However, the wide-scale sharing of health records, and their meaningful analysis across distributed sites, also requires that a consistent approach is used for the clinical (semantic) data structures that will be communicated via the Reference Model, so that equivalent clinical information is represented consistently. This is necessary in order for clinical applications and analysis tools safely to process EHR data that have come from heterogeneous sources.

0.1 Archetypes

The challenge for EHR interoperability is therefore to devise a generalised approach to representing every conceivable kind of health record data structure in a consistent way. This needs to cater for records arising from any profession, speciality or service, whilst recognising that the clinical data sets, value sets, templates etc. required by different health care domains will be diverse, complex and will change frequently as clinical practice and medical knowledge advance. This requirement is part of the widely acknowledged health informatics challenge of semantic interoperability.

The approach adopted by this standard series distinguishes a Reference Model, used to represent the generic properties of health record information, and Archetypes (conforming to an Archetype Model), which are meta-data used to define patterns for the specific characteristics of the clinical data that represent the requirements of each particular profession, speciality or service.

The Reference Model is specified as an Open Distributed Processing (ODP) Information Viewpoint model, representing the global characteristics of health record components, how they are aggregated, and the context information required to meet ethical, legal and provenance requirements. In the 13606 standards series, the Reference Model is defined in Part 1. This model defines the set of classes that form the generic building blocks of the EHR. It reflects the stable characteristics of an electronic health record, and would be embedded in a distributed (federated) EHR environment as specific messages or interfaces (as specified in Part 5 of this standard series).

Archetypes are effectively pre-coordinated combinations of named RECORD_COMPONENT hierarchies that are agreed within a community in order to ensure semantic interoperability, data consistency and data quality.

For an EHR_EXTRACT, as defined in ISO 13606-1, an archetype specifies (and effectively constrains) a particular hierarchy of RECORD_COMPONENT sub-classes, defining or constraining their names and other relevant attribute values, optionality and multiplicity at any point in the hierarchy, the datatypes and value ranges that ELEMENT data values can take, and might include other dependency constraints. Archetype instances themselves conform to a formal model, known as an Archetype Model

(which is a constraint model, also specified as an ODP Information Viewpoint Model). Although the Archetype Model is stable, individual archetype instances can be revised or succeeded by others as clinical practice evolves. Version control ensures that new revisions do not invalidate data created with previous revisions.

Archetypes can be used within EHR systems to govern the EHR data committed to a repository. However, for the purposes of this interoperability standard series, no assumption is made about the use of archetypes within the EHR Provider system whenever this standard series is used for EHR communication. It is assumed that the original EHR data, if not already archetyped, can be mapped to a set of archetypes, if desired, when generating the EHR_EXTRACT.

The reference model defined in ISO 13606-1 has a property that can be used to specify the archetype to which any RECORD_COMPONENT within an EHR_EXTRACT conforms. The class RECORD_COMPONENT includes an attribute *archetype_id* to identify the archetype and node to which that RECORD_COMPONENT conforms.

Part 3 of this standard series includes a set of Reference Archetypes: which are base archetypes that are likely to be specialised further before they are used. Those archetypes are example instances of this Archetype Model.

The Archetype Model specified in this document was originally developed by the openEHR Foundation, which publishes its archetypes using Archetype Definition Language, conforming to this Archetype Model, referenced within [Annex A](#). The Archetype Model has been the subject of collaborative updating to incorporate the requirements and modelling inputs from the Clinical Information Modeling Initiative (CIMI). CIMI is in the process of submitting a modelling language (Archetype Modeling Language, AML) to the Object Management Group. AML also aligns to this Archetype Model.

0.2 Archetype datatypes

It should be noted that ISO 13606-1 and ISO 13606-2 use datatypes for different purposes.

Part 1 defines datatypes to represent the properties of the Reference Model, as a profile of ISO 21090, in 5.3. It separately defines in [Clause 7](#) the data types that can be the values of Element, also a subset of ISO 21090. All these datatypes are finally expressed in terms of the so-called “primitive” datatypes (Integer, Real, String, Boolean, Date/Time/Datetime).

Part 2 uses the same set of primitive datatypes to represent the properties of the Archetype Object Model. Additionally, Part 2 defines a set of classes that allow defining constraints over primitive datatypes of Part 1. These constraining classes are shown in [Figure 9](#) of Part 2, as descendants of the C_PRIMITIVE_OBJECT class.

A single Part 1 complex datatype (e.g. PHYSICAL_QUANTITY) can be constrained by a combination of the constraining classes of the Archetype Object Model, defining constraints on both the complex and primitive datatypes it contains. Thus, Part 1 complex datatypes are treated as classes when defining constraints with Part 2, while Part 1 primitive data types are constrained by the C_PRIMITIVE_OBJECT hierarchy.

An example of a PHYSICAL_QUANTITY archetype can be seen in the example below. In this example, the value on a PHYSICAL_QUANTITY shall be between 0.0 and 1000.0 and their units shall be UCUM ‘mm[Hg]’ code.

```
PHYSICAL_QUANTITY matches {
  value matches {[0.0..<1000.0]}
  units matches {
    CODED_SIMPLE matches {
      value matches {"mm[Hg]"}
```

```

    }
  }
}

```

This example archetype, expressed in terms of the Archetype Object Model, would have the structure shown in [Table 1](#).

Table 1 — Example structure for representing physical quantity

Reference Model class, attribute or primitive value	Archetype Model constraining class
PHYSICAL_QUANTITY	C_COMPLEX_OBJECT
value	C_ATTRIBUTE
Real	C_REAL
units	C_ATTRIBUTE
CODED_SIMPLE	C_COMPLEX_OBJECT
value	C_ATTRIBUTE
String	C_STRING

Since the Archetype Object Model is also used to constrain other reference models, as for example the openEHR Reference Model, there will be a need to transform openEHR archetypes to ISO 13606 archetypes, and vice versa. The openEHR Reference Model also uses the same primitive datatypes, but includes a different set of complex datatypes, such as DV_ORDINAL, or DV_TEXT¹⁾. When transforming an openEHR archetype constraint to an ISO 13606 archetype, it might be necessary to introduce an additional CLUSTER structure to represent the equivalent openEHR sub-components as ELEMENTs.

For example, a representation of an openEHR DV_ORDINAL in ISO 13606 would have the structure shown in [Table 2](#).

Table 2 — Example structure for representing an ordinal data value

openEHR	ISO 13606
DV_ORDINAL	CLUSTER matches { -- DV_ORDINAL
	parts matches {
symbol	ELEMENT matches { -- symbol
	value matches {
DV_CODED_TEXT	CODED_VALUE matches {*}
	}
	}
value	ELEMENT matches { -- value
	value matches {
Integer	INTEGER matches {*}
	}
	}
	}
	}

An example of how the LINK class defined in Part 1 of this standard series can be represented using the Archetype Object Model defined in this document is given in [Annex B](#).

1) Please see http://www.openehr.org/releases/RM/latest/docs/data_types/data_types.html#_text_package for the specification of this datatype.

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სახსრავად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

0.3 Archetype repositories

The range of archetypes required within a shared EHR community will depend upon its range of clinical activities. The total set needed on a national basis is presently unknown, but there might eventually be several thousand archetypes globally. The ideal sources of knowledge for developing such archetype definitions will be clinical guidelines, care pathways, scientific publications and other embodiments of best practice. However, “de facto” sources of agreed clinical data structures might also include:

- the data schemata (models) of existing clinical systems;
- the lay-out of computer screen forms used by these systems for data entry and for the display of analyses performed;
- data-entry templates, pop-up lists and look-up tables used by these systems;
- shared-care data sets, messages and reports used locally and nationally;
- the structure of forms used for the documentation of clinical consultations or summaries within paper records;
- health information used in secondary data collections;
- the pre-coordinated terms in terminology systems.

Despite this list of *de facto* ways in which clinical data structures are currently represented, these formats are very rarely interoperable without substantial costs. The use of standardised archetypes provides an interoperable way of representing and sharing these specifications, in support of consistent (good quality) health care record-keeping and the semantic interoperability of shared EHRs.

The involvement of national health services, academic organisations and professional bodies in the development of archetypes will enable this approach to contribute to the pursuit of quality evidence-based clinical practice. A key next challenge is to foster communities to build up libraries of archetypes. It is beyond the scope of this document to assert how this work should be advanced, but in several countries so far it would appear that national eHealth programmes are beginning to organise clinical-informatics-vendor teams to develop and operationalise sets of archetypes to meet the needs of specific healthcare domains. In the future regional or national public domain libraries of archetype definitions might be accessed via the Internet, and downloaded for local use within EHR systems. Such usage will also require processes to verify and certify the quality of shared archetypes, which are also beyond the scope of this document but are being taken forward by not for profit organisations such as the open EHR Foundation (www.openehr.org), the Clinical Information Modeling Initiative (CIMI, <http://www.opencimi.org>) the EN13606 Association (<http://www.en13606.org>) and the European Institute for Innovation through Health Data (www.i-hd.eu).

0.4 Communicating archetypes

This document specifies, in [Clause 6](#), the requirements for a comprehensive and interoperable archetype representation and defines, in [Clause 7](#), the ODP Information Viewpoint representation for the Archetype Object Model.

This document does not require that any particular model be adopted as the internal architecture of archetype repositories, services or components used to author, store or deploy archetypes in collaboration with EHR services. It does require that these archetypes are capable of being mapped to the Archetype Object Model defined in this document in order to support EHR communication and interoperability within an EHR-sharing community.

A more detailed overview of archetypes can be found here:

<http://www.openehr.org/releases/AM/latest/docs/Overview/Overview.html>