

## საქართველოს სტანდარტი

---

სსკ: 07.100.30

კვებითი ჯაჭვის მიკრობიოლოგია - გაზომვის გაურკვეველობის შეფასება  
რაოდენობრივი განსაზღვრებისთვის

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სანახავად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

# სსტ ისო 19036:2019/2022

## საინფორმაციო მონაცემები

1 მიღებულია და დაშვებულია სამოქმედოდ: სსიპ-საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს გენერალური დირექტორის 07/07/2022 წლის № 45 განკარგულებით

2 მიღებულია „თავფურცლის“ თარგმნის მეთოდით: სტანდარტიზაციის საერთაშორისო ორგანიზაციის (ისო) სტანდარტი ისო 19036:2019 „კვებითი ჯაჭვის მიკრობიოლოგია - გაზომვის გაურკვევლობის შეფასება რაოდენობრივი განსაზღვრებისთვის“

3 ნაცვლად:

4 რეგისტრირებულია: სსიპ-საქართველოს სტანდარტებისა და მეტროლოგიის ეროვნული სააგენტოს რეესტრში: 07/07/2022 წლის №268-1.3-025447

წინამდებარე სტანდარტის ნებისმიერი ფორმით გავრცელება სააგენტოს ნებართვის გარეშე აკრძალულია

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

# ISO 19036

First edition  
2019-10

---

---

## Microbiology of the food chain — Estimation of measurement uncertainty for quantitative determinations

*Microbiologie de la chaîne alimentaire — Estimation de l'incertitude  
de mesure pour les déterminations quantitatives*



Reference number  
ISO 19036:2019(E)

© ISO 2019



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Foreword.....	v
Introduction.....	vi
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and symbols.....</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	1
3.2 Symbols.....	4
<b>4 General considerations.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5 Technical uncertainty.....</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1 Identification of main sources of uncertainty.....	6
5.1.1 General aspects.....	6
5.1.2 Sampling uncertainty.....	7
5.1.3 Bias.....	7
5.1.4 Critical factors.....	7
5.2 Estimation of technical uncertainty.....	8
5.2.1 General aspects.....	8
5.2.2 Reproducibility standard deviation derived from intralaboratory experiments, $s_{IR}$ .....	8
5.2.3 Reproducibility standard deviation derived from interlaboratory studies.....	13
<b>6 Matrix uncertainty.....</b>	<b>14</b>
6.1 General aspects.....	14
6.2 Case of homogeneous laboratory (or test) sample.....	15
6.3 Multiple test portions from laboratory samples.....	15
6.4 Known characteristic of the matrix.....	16
<b>7 Distributional uncertainties.....</b>	<b>17</b>
7.1 General aspects.....	17
7.2 Colony-count technique — Poisson uncertainty.....	17
7.3 Colony-count technique — Confirmation uncertainty.....	17
7.4 Most probable number uncertainty.....	18
<b>8 Combined and expanded uncertainty.....</b>	<b>19</b>
8.1 Combined standard uncertainty.....	19
8.1.1 General considerations.....	19
8.1.2 Combined standard uncertainty based on separate technical, matrix, and distributional standard uncertainties.....	19
8.1.3 Combined standard uncertainty based on reproducibility standard deviation alone.....	20
8.2 Expanded uncertainty.....	20
8.3 Worked examples.....	20
8.3.1 Example 1 — Technical, matrix and Poisson components of uncertainty.....	20
8.3.2 Example 2 — Poisson component negligible.....	20
8.3.3 Example 3 — Poisson, matrix and confirmation components.....	21
8.3.4 Example 4 — Technical, matrix and most probable number components.....	21
<b>9 Expression of measurement uncertainty in the test reports.....</b>	<b>22</b>
9.1 General aspects.....	22
9.2 Results below the limit of quantification.....	23
9.2.1 General aspects.....	23
9.2.2 Example.....	23
<b>Annex A (informative) Calculation of standard deviations with two or more than two test portions (intralaboratory reproducibility standard deviation and matrix uncertainty standard deviation).....</b>	<b>25</b>

<b>Annex B (informative) Matrix effect and matrix uncertainty</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Intrinsic variability (standard uncertainty) of most probable number estimates</b> .....	<b>32</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Correction of experimental standard deviations for unwanted uncertainty components</b> .....	<b>34</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>37</b>

საინფორმაციო ნაწილი. სრული ტექსტის სანახავად შეიძინეთ სტანდარტი.

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Microbiology*.

This first edition cancels and replaces ISO/TS 19036:2006, which has been technically revised. It also incorporates the amendment ISO/TS 19036:2006/Amd.1:2009. The main changes compared with the previous edition are as follows:

- provision has been made for the estimation of technical uncertainty, and also for other relevant sources of uncertainty involved in quantitative microbiological tests, relating to:
  - the matrix uncertainty (i.e. the uncertainty due to dispersion of microbes within the actual test matrix);
  - the Poisson uncertainty that relates to colony count techniques;
  - the confirmation uncertainty associated with tests to confirm the identity of specific organisms following a count for presumptive organisms;
  - the uncertainty associated with most probable number (MPN) estimates;
- the experimental design for the estimation of intralaboratory reproducibility standard deviation described in this document in connection with the technical uncertainty is now the same as the design described in ISO 16140-3 for the verification of quantitative methods;
- worked examples have been added to illustrate ways in which uncertainty estimates should be generated and reported;
- annexes have been added to provide details of some of the important, or alternative, procedures and issues associated with uncertainty estimation.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

The term “measurement uncertainty” (MU) is used to denote the lack of accuracy (trueness and precision) that can be associated with the results of an analysis. In the context of quantitative microbiology, it provides an indication of the degree of confidence that can be placed on laboratory estimates of microbial numbers in foods or other materials.

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 (also known as the “GUM”) is a widely adopted reference document. The principal approach of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 is to construct a mathematical or computer measurement model that quantitatively describes the relationship between the quantity being measured (the measurand) and every quantity on which it depends (input quantities). That measurement model is then used to deduce the uncertainty in the measurand from the uncertainties in the input quantities.

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 recognizes that it might not be feasible to establish a comprehensive mathematical relationship between the measurand and individual input quantities and that in such cases the effect of several input quantities can be evaluated as a group. ISO/IEC 17025 also recognizes that the nature of the test method can preclude rigorous calculation of measurement uncertainty.

In the case of the microbiological analysis of samples from the food chain, it is not feasible to build a comprehensive quantitative measurement model, since it is not possible to quantify accurately the contribution of each input quantity, where:

- the analyte is a living organism, whose physiological state can be largely variable;
- the analytical target includes different strains, different species or different genera;
- many input quantities are difficult, if not impossible, to quantify (e.g. physiological state);
- for many input quantities (e.g. temperature, water activity), their effect on the measurand cannot be described quantitatively with adequate precision.

For the reasons given above, this document mostly uses a top-down or global approach to MU, in which the contribution of most input quantities is estimated as a standard deviation of reproducibility of the final result of the measurement process, calculated from experimental results with replication of the same analyses, as part of the measurement process. These quantities reflect operational variability and result in technical uncertainty. In food chain quantitative microbiology, assigned values or reference quantity values are usually not available so bias (which quantitatively expresses the lack of trueness) cannot be reliably estimated and is not included in the uncertainty estimated by this document.

While reproducibility provides a general estimate of uncertainty associated with the measurement method, it might not reflect characteristics associated with matrix uncertainty, resulting from the distribution of microorganisms in the food matrix.

Also, microbiological measurements often depend on counting or detecting quite small numbers of organisms that are more or less randomly distributed leading to intrinsic variability between replicates and a corresponding distributional uncertainty. For colony-count techniques, the Poisson uncertainty is determined, to which may be added, in certain cases, an uncertainty linked to confirmation tests used to identify isolated organisms. An additional uncertainty component is also required for most probable number (MPN) determinations. Relevant distributional uncertainty components, estimated from statistical theory, are calculated from individual experimental data.

These three different kinds of uncertainty (technical, matrix and distributional uncertainties) are combined using the principles of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3. This approach is similar to that followed by ISO 29201 in the field of water microbiology.

Technical uncertainty is often the largest of these three kinds and is estimated from a reproducibility standard deviation, which inevitably includes some contributions from the other two kinds. The preferred estimate of technical uncertainty is based on intralaboratory reproducibility, in the same way as ISO 16140-3. If consistent with laboratory protocols and client requirements, a general value of uncertainty may be reported as based only on a reproducibility standard deviation.

საინფორმაციო ტექნოლოგიების განვითარების ეროვნული ცენტრი